



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER 08/028,795	FILING DATE 03/08/93	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILLER	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. A UOFW16938
-----------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------------

CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR,
JOHNSON & KINDNESS
2800 PACIFIC FIRST CENTRE
1420 FIFTH AVE.
SEATTLE, WA 98101

33M1/0312

CASLER, B EXAMINER	
ART UNIT 3305	PAPER NUMBER 18

DATE MAILED: 03/12/96

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Jim Anable (3) _____
(2) Examiner Casler (4) _____

Date of interview 3-7-96

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 89

Identification of prior art discussed: Hajnal et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed changes to claim 89: language including, "said nerves including epinurium and perinurium" in line 4 of part (a) and "a consecuity of the nerve that is at least 1.1 times that of any adjacent non-neural tissue" in line 3 of part (e), the examiner agreed the change to part (e) appeared to define over Hajnal et al. if sufficiently supported by the specification.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Bruce D. Cole
Examiner's Signature